1 2 3 4 5	Christopher Chapin (SBN 112608) LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT S. BESSER 1221 Second Street, Suite 300 Santa Monica, California 90401 TEL: (310) 394-6611 Fax: (310) 394-6613 rsbesser@aol.com christopherchapin@aol.com Attorneys for Defendant CBS STUDIOS INC.							
7 8		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10		ALIFON	NIA					
11	   DELRAY RICHARDSON p/k/a DELRAY Case	e No. (	CV12-7925 ABC (SHx)					
12	d/b/a DEL FUNK BOY MUSIC, an Hone	Honorable Audrey B. Collins						
13	SYNDER d/b/a/ ZION RECORDS, an individual, MEMO	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT						
14	1							
15	N Company of the Comp							
16 17	of CBS CORPORATION, a Delaware	TELEVISION DISTRIBUTION, a division of CBS CORPORATION, a Delaware						
18		Date:	September 30, 2013					
19		Time: Ctrm:	10:00 a.m. 680 Roybal Building					
20			Repeat Building					
21	1							
22	2							
23	3							
24	4							
25	5							
26	6							
27	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTH	JOD TIME T	C IN DEDIV					
28	TO OPPOSITION MOTION FOR S							

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS					
2	Table of Authorities					
3	I. INTRODUCTION					
4	II.	I. ARGUMENT				
5		A.	Plaintiffs Have Failed to Meet Their Burden to Present Admissible Evidence			
6			That Would Create a Single Material Factual Issue	2		
7		В.	Plaintiffs Have Not Established Valid			
8			<u>Copyrights or Infringement of Their</u> <u>Reduced Number of Claims</u>	5		
9		c.	Plaintiffs Cannot Established Any Damages	8		
10		D.	Plaintiffs Do Not Dispute That Their			
11			Alleged Copyright Registrations Were Filed After the First Alleged Infringement;			
12			Accordingly, Plaintiffs Are Not Entitled to Statutory Damages	10		
13		Ε.	Plaintiffs' Protestations Concerning			
14			the Meet and Confer Process Are Not True	10		
15	3	Ε.	<u>Plaintiffs' Protestations Concerning</u> the Meet and Confer Process Are Not True			
16	III.	CONC	CLUSION	11		
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26			-i-			
27			MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN REPLY			
28			TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT			

1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES				
2	<u>Cases</u>				
3	Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d 202 (1986)	3			
4 5	Berckeley Inv. Group, Ltd. v. Colkitt, 455 F.3d 195, 201 (3d Cir. 2006)	4			
6 7	Beyene v. Coleman Sec. Serv., Inc., 854 F.2d 1179 (9th Cir. 1988)	4			
8	Block v. City of Los Angeles, 253 F.3d 410, 418-19 (9th Cir. 2001)	4-5			
9 10	Broadwood Investment Fund v United States of America, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 148878 CD Cal. 2012)	3			
11	Carmen v. S.F. Unified Sch. Dist., 237 F.3d 1026(9th Cir. 2001)	3			
12 13	Celotex Corp v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 106 S.Ct. 2548, 91 L.Ed.2d 265 (1986)	2			
14	Cox v. Kentucky Dep't of Transportation, 53 F.3d 146 (6th Cir. 1995)	4			
15 16	Federal Deposit Ins. Corp. v. New Hampshire Ins. Co., 953 F.2d 478 (9th Cir. 1991)	5			
17	Fraser v. Goodale, 342 F.3d 1032, 1036 (9th Cir. 2003)	4			
18 19	Keenan v. Allan, 91 F.3d 1275 (9th Cir. 1996)	4			
20	Rowell v. BellSouth Corp., 433 F.3d 794 (11th Cir. 2005)	5			
21 22	S.A. Empresa de Viacao Aerea Rio Grandense v. Walter Kidde & Co., Inc., 690 F.2d 1235 (9th Cir. 1982)	3			
23	Schacht v. Wisconsin Dep't of Corrections,	J			
24	175 F.3d 497 (7th Cir. 1999)	4			
25	Smith v. Marsh, 194 F.3d 1045 (9th Cir. 1999)	4			
26	_;;_				
27	-ii-				
28	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT				

Case 4:12-cv-07925-ABC-SH Document 36 Filed 09/16/13 Page 4 of 4 Page ID #:384